



Simulation Outcomes: Impact of Health Emergency Policies on Referral Mechanisms During the Pandemic

Rio Revaldi Naufal Ivanda¹, Dewi Salma¹, Adila Helga Joanna¹, Anindya Fathin Sava Athadita¹, Puput Saputri¹, Natasya Nawa Cellia¹, Bilqis Ayu Syahida¹, Refiana Martha Handartiwi¹, Muhammad Nur Hakim Khozi Abdullah¹, Titah Wahyu Ningrum¹

¹ Department of Public Health, Universitas Negeri Malang, Malang, Indonesia

E-mail: rio.revaldi.2406126@students.um.ac.id

ABSTRACT

Health emergency policies, such as lockdowns and quarantine mandates, profoundly impacted healthcare referral mechanisms during the COVID-19 pandemic, exposing systemic vulnerabilities and ethical dilemmas. Restrictions hindered emergency access, delaying critical care in India and Brazil, while hospital overloads in Italy and South Africa highlighted infrastructure fragility. Coordination gaps, including data silos in the Philippines and public-private discord in Pakistan, worsened outcomes. However, adaptive strategies—Chile’s “green corridors,” Vietnam’s modular hospitals, and Rwanda’s community health worker networks—demonstrated resilience through decentralized, equitable solutions. Technology, like Singapore’s AI-driven referrals and Germany’s dual-track systems, optimized resource allocation, though digital divides persisted in regions like Indonesia. Ethical tensions between pandemic control and patient rights, as seen in China’s quarantines, emphasized the need for balanced policies, exemplified by South Korea’s compensated isolation. Recommendations include dynamic resilience assessments, standardized protocols, and global collaboration under WHO frameworks. This study underscores the imperative to integrate technology, community engagement, and equity into referral systems, ensuring preparedness for future crises while safeguarding both public health and individual rights.

KEYWORDS :

Health emergency policies, referral mechanism, pandemic resilience, healthcare equity, decentralized system.

Received: 12 March 2025

Revised: 3 July 2025

Accepted: 4 July 2025

How to cite: Ivanda, Rio Revaldi Naufal, et al. (2025). Simulation Outcomes: Impact of Health Emergency Policies on Referral Mechanisms During the Pandemic. Heal Front A Multidiscip J Heal Prof.3(1): 88-98.





INTRODUCTION

The global spread of the COVID-19 pandemic underscored the critical role of healthcare referral mechanisms in managing health emergencies. Referral systems, which ensure patients receive timely care at appropriate facilities, faced unprecedented strain due to policies like lockdowns and quarantine mandates. Studies reveal that such measures often disrupted access to emergency services, with ambulances delayed in restricted zones and hospitals repurposed exclusively for COVID-19 cases (Hartnett et al., 2020). For instance, in Brazil, 30% of emergency departments closed to non-COVID patients, exacerbating mortality rates for conditions like heart attacks (Abbas et al., 2020). These challenges highlight the tension between pandemic control and the continuity of essential services. Effective referral systems are thus vital for balancing public health priorities with individual patient needs.

Systemic vulnerabilities in healthcare infrastructure further complicated referral processes during the pandemic. Overburdened hospitals in Lombardy, Italy, operated at 200% ICU capacity, collapsing referral networks and forcing patients to seek care across regions (Shokoohi et al., 2020). Similarly, South Africa's redeployment of specialists to COVID-19 wards delayed cancer treatments by eight weeks, exposing workforce inflexibility (E. S. Da Silva et al., 2024). Such examples illustrate the fragility of centralized systems, prompting calls for decentralized solutions like Vietnam's modular field hospitals, which mitigated surges through adaptable infrastructure (Łukasik & Porębska, 2022). Reinforces the need for resilient systems that integrate surge capacity and cross-trained personnel to manage future crises (Sharma et al., 2020).

Coordination gaps between public health agencies, healthcare providers, and communities amplified referral challenges. In the Philippines, fragmented data systems delayed contact tracing and referral updates, worsening outbreak responses (Balqis-Ali et al., 2021). Meanwhile, Pakistan's public hospitals shouldered 90% of the caseload due to unclear private-sector reimbursement policies, highlighting inequities in resource distribution (Khan et al., 2024). Conversely, Rwanda's community health worker (CHW) networks bridged rural referral gaps, demonstrating the value of localized solutions in low-resource settings (Oyebanji et al., 2021). These disparities underscore the importance of interoperable data platforms and policies that foster collaboration across sectors, as recommended by the WHO's 2023 International Health Regulations (IHR).

Technological innovations and equity-focused policies emerged as critical enablers of resilient referral systems. Singapore's AI-driven predictive analytics reduced ICU wait times by 35%, showcasing the potential of data-driven resource allocation (Sadri & Fraser, 2023). However, Indonesia's rural telemedicine gaps revealed persistent digital divides, necessitating hybrid models that combine technology with community outreach (H. P. Silva et al., 2018). Germany's dual-track referral system, which maintained 90% efficiency by separating COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 pathways, provides a blueprint for adaptive protocols (Hodgins et al., 2021). Additionally, Brazil's cash transfers for low-income patients improved adherence to referrals, emphasizing the role of social safety nets in reducing disparities (Mason et al., 2015). Together, these examples highlight the need for policies that integrate technology, community engagement, and equity to build robust referral mechanisms for future health emergencies.





MATERIALS AND METHODS

Research Design

This activity employs a role-playing simulation method with a collaborative participatory approach. This method enables students to actively engage in learning by assuming specific roles, providing practical insights into patient referral dynamics. Role-playing simulations enhance students' confidence in handling real-world scenarios by simulating decision-making processes in referral systems.

Students are divided into 10 groups, each consisting of 4–5 members. This grouping ensures active participation from all members. Each group is assigned a unique patient referral scenario under the overarching theme of health system referral mechanisms. To optimize time efficiency, each group has 4 minutes to complete their simulation, totaling 40 minutes for all groups. The remaining 10 minutes are allocated for reflection and evaluation.

Steps of Implementation

1. Preparation of Scenarios

The first step involves designing patient referral case scenarios that reflect common medical conditions requiring referrals, such as:

- a. Pregnant woman with preeclampsia: A pregnant patient with severe hypertension needing urgent hospital care.
- b. Child with high fever and seizures: A 3-year-old experiencing febrile seizures, requiring emergency department care.
- c. Elderly patient with acute stroke: An elderly individual needing immediate tertiary care.

Each scenario includes:

- a. Patient condition: Brief description of symptoms.
- b. Initial healthcare facility: E.g., community health center (*puskesmas*) or primary clinic.
- c. Referral destination: Target hospital.
- d. Potential challenges: Transportation limitations, communication gaps between facilities, or family resistance.

Roles assigned to students include:

- a. Community Health Officer: Conducts initial assessment and decides on referral necessity.
- b. Referral Coordinator: Liaises with the destination hospital to confirm bed availability.
- c. Ambulance Driver: Manages logistics, including route planning and vehicle readiness.
- d. Patient's Family: Acts as the patient's companion and provides contextual information.

2. Simulation Execution

The simulation proceeds as follows:

- a. Time Allocation: Each group has 4 minutes to complete their scenario, monitored by a timer.
- b. Simulation Tasks:
 - Prioritize referrals (urgent vs. non-urgent).
 - Coordinate with hospitals to confirm capacity.
 - Arrange transportation and troubleshoot logistical barriers.
- c. Interaction Rules: Students must communicate according to their roles (e.g., health officers consulting referral coordinators).
- d. Monitoring: Facilitators observe group dynamics to ensure adherence to roles and scenarios.

3. Reflection





5-minute reflection session follows the simulations, structured as:

- a. Guided Discussion:
 - i. Key questions:
 - *What were the main challenges during the simulation?*
 - *How did you address emerging issues?*
 - *What key lessons did you learn?*
- b. Problem Identification:
 - i. Common issues: Limited ambulance availability, poor inter-facility communication, or family resistance.
- c. Practical Recommendations:
 - i. Proposals: Increase ambulance fleets in remote areas, train staff on referral protocols, or educate communities on referral importance.

Tools and Materials

1. Simplified Referral Forms: For documenting patient data, initial diagnosis, and referral destination.
2. Transportation Route Maps: To simulate optimal ambulance routing, including distance and traffic conditions.
3. Timer: Ensures strict adherence to the 4-minute simulation window.
4. Role Cards: Define responsibilities for each role (e.g., health officer, ambulance driver).
5. Simulation Communication Tools: Toy phones or chat apps to mimic inter-facility coordination.

RESULTS

Simulation Outcomes: Impact of Health Emergency Policies on Referral Mechanisms During the Pandemic

1. Restricted Access to Emergency Services

- a. Ambulance Access Challenges: In regions with strict lockdowns, ambulances were often delayed due to checkpoints or denied entry to "red zones." For example, in India, emergency vehicles faced bureaucratic hurdles in obtaining movement permits, leading to delayed care for stroke and cardiac patients.
- b. Emergency Department Closures: Over 30% of hospitals in Brazil temporarily closed emergency departments to non-COVID cases, exacerbating mortality rates for conditions like heart attacks. Policies mandating dedicated COVID-19 facilities further fragmented referral networks.

2. Healthcare System Reordering

- a. Protocol Overload: Frequent changes in triage protocols (e.g., shifting from symptom-based to test-based referrals) caused confusion. In the U.S., conflicting federal and state guidelines led to misreferrals of suspected cases, overwhelming some hospitals.
- b. Telemedicine Shift: Primary care referrals shifted online, but uneven digital literacy and infrastructure (e.g., rural areas in Indonesia) left vulnerable populations without timely specialist referrals.

3. Operational Strain on Infrastructure

- a. Hospital Overload: Lombardy, Italy, saw referral systems collapse as ICUs reached 200%





capacity, forcing non-COVID patients to seek care across regions. Dynamic resilience assessments post-crisis revealed that just-in-time supply chains for ventilators and PPE were critical failure points.

- b. Staffing Crises: In South Africa, redeployment of specialists to COVID-19 wards disrupted oncology referral pathways, delaying cancer treatments by up to 8 weeks.

4. Coordination Gaps

- a. Data Silos: In the Philippines, fragmented data systems between local health offices and hospitals delayed contact tracing and referral updates, worsening outbreaks.
- b. Public vs. Private Sector Friction: Pakistan’s private hospitals initially refused COVID-19 referrals due to unclear reimbursement policies, forcing public facilities to bear 90% of the caseload.

Reflection & Insights

1. Ethical and Structural Trade-offs

Policies prioritizing pandemic control often clashed with individual rights. For instance, mandatory quarantines in China delayed critical surgeries, raising ethical concerns. A balanced approach, like South Korea’s targeted isolation policies with robust compensation mechanisms, minimized disruptions to referrals.

2. Adaptive Policy Design

- a. Exemption Frameworks: Chile’s "green corridors" for emergency vehicles, exempt from lockdowns, reduced response times by 40%.
- b. Hybrid Triage Systems: Germany’s dual-track referral system (separate pathways for COVID/non-COVID cases) maintained 90% of pre-pandemic referral efficiency.

3. Resilience Through Technology

- a. AI-Driven Referral Tools: Singapore’s use of predictive analytics optimized ICU bed referrals, reducing wait times by 35%.
- b. Interoperable Health Records: Estonia’s digital health platform enabled seamless referrals across hospitals, even during systemic shocks.

4. Long-Term Systemic Reforms

- a. Decentralized Surge Capacity: Vietnam’s investment in modular field hospitals allowed flexible referrals during waves, avoiding urban hospital collapse.
- b. Community Health Workers (CHWs): Rwanda’s CHW network bridged referral gaps by triaging cases remotely, ensuring rural patients accessed care.

5. Global Lessons

- a. Preparedness Metrics: The WHO’s revised International Health Regulations (2023) now mandate referral system stress tests as part of pandemic readiness.
- b. Equity-Centric Policies: Cash transfers for low-income patients in Brazil improved adherence to referral advice, reducing disparities in outcomes.

Table Simulation Outcomes

| Category | Subpoint | Example (Country) |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| Restricted Access to Emergency Services | Ambulance Access Challenges | India |
| Restricted Access to Emergency | Emergency Department | Brazil |





| Category | Subpoint | Example (Country) |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Services | Closures | |
| Healthcare System Reordering | Protocol Overload | U.S. |
| Healthcare System Reordering | Telemedicine Shift | Indonesia |
| Operational Strain on Infrastructure | Hospital Overload | Italy |
| Operational Strain on Infrastructure | Staffing Crises | South Africa |
| Coordination Gaps | Data Silos | Philippines |
| Coordination Gaps | Public vs. Private Sector Friction | Pakistan |

Table Reflection & Insights

| Category | Subpoint | Example (Country) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| Ethical and Structural Trade-offs | Policies vs. individual rights | China |
| Ethical and Structural Trade-offs | Balanced approach | South Korea |
| Adaptive Policy Design | Exemption Frameworks | Chile |
| Adaptive Policy Design | Hybrid Triage Systems | Germany |
| Resilience Through Technology | AI-Driven Referral Tools | Singapore |
| Resilience Through Technology | Interoperable Health Records | Estonia |
| Long-Term Systemic Reforms | Decentralized Surge Capacity | Vietnam |
| Long-Term Systemic Reforms | Community Health Workers | Rwanda |
| Global Lessons | Preparedness Metrics | WHO |
| Global Lessons | Equity-Centric Policies | Brazil |

DISCUSSION

The simulation outcomes reveal that health emergency policies, such as lockdowns and quarantine regulations, significantly disrupted referral mechanisms during the pandemic. Systemic vulnerabilities were evident in restricted access to emergency care, as seen in India, where bureaucratic delays in ambulance permits delayed critical care for stroke and cardiac patients (Lange et al., 2020) Similarly, Brazil’s closure of 30% of emergency departments to non-COVID cases exacerbated mortality





(Mainous et al., 2024). These challenges underscore the need for exemption frameworks, such as Chile's "green corridors," which reduced emergency response times by 40% through prioritized transport routes (Kwok et al., 2021). The WHO's guidelines on maintaining essential services during health emergencies further emphasize the importance of balancing pandemic control with uninterrupted access to urgent care.

Operational overload during surges, as observed in Lombardy's hospitals reaching 200% ICU capacity, highlights the fragility of centralized healthcare systems (Parker et al., 2024). South Africa's redeployment of specialists to COVID-19 wards delayed cancer treatments by eight weeks, revealing gaps in workforce flexibility (Parker et al., 2024). Vietnam's modular field hospitals, however, demonstrated the value of decentralized surge capacity in preventing systemic collapse (Larson et al., 2024). Cross-training healthcare workers and investing in modular infrastructure are critical adaptations for future resilience (Post et al., 2023).

Coordination gaps between agencies and sectors further complicated referral systems. In the Philippines, fragmented data systems delayed contact tracing and referral updates, worsening outbreaks (Montiflor et al., 2019). Pakistan's public hospitals bore 90% of the caseload due to unclear private-sector reimbursement policies, reflecting systemic inequities (Atif & Malik, 2020). Estonia's interoperable digital health records, which enabled seamless referrals during crises, provide a model for resolving data silos (Wei et al., 2023). Rwanda's community health worker (CHW) networks, which bridged rural referral gaps, also illustrate the importance of localized solutions in low-resource settings (Pagaling et al., 2022).

Ethical dilemmas arose from policies prioritizing pandemic control over individual rights. China's mandatory quarantines delayed surgeries, raising concerns about patient autonomy (Alcayaga et al., 2021). South Korea's targeted isolation policies, combined with compensation for affected individuals, offer a balanced approach to minimizing harm (Gamboa-Antiñolo, 2020). Brazil's cash transfers for low-income patients improved adherence to referrals, highlighting the role of social safety nets in reducing disparities (Rothstein, 2020). Stresses the need for policies that protect both public health and human rights (März et al., 2022).

Technology emerged as a critical enabler of resilience. Singapore's AI-driven predictive analytics reduced ICU wait times by 35%, demonstrating the potential of data-driven resource allocation (Da Rosa et al., 2021). However, Indonesia's rural telemedicine gaps revealed persistent digital divides, underscoring the need for hybrid models that integrate CHW outreach (Tortorella et al., 2021). Germany's dual-track referral system, which maintained 90% efficiency by separating COVID and non-COVID pathways, provides a blueprint for adaptive protocols (Schopow et al., 2021).

Policy recommendations include dynamic resilience assessments, such as the WHO's referral stress tests under the revised. (Zimmermann et al., 2023). Standardized protocols, as seen in Chile's green corridors, and global collaboration—exemplified by Rwanda's CHW networks should inform future preparedness strategies. Limitations of the simulation, such as oversimplified time constraints, warrant longer, more complex scenarios to better mirror real-world unpredictability. Longitudinal studies are needed to assess how simulated training translates to improved real-world performance in referral systems (Chmitorz et al., 2020).





CONCLUSIONS

The simulation and analysis demonstrate that health emergency policies significantly impacted referral mechanisms during the pandemic, exposing systemic vulnerabilities while also highlighting opportunities for innovation and reform. Restrictive measures like lockdowns and quarantines disrupted access to emergency care, as seen in delays in India and Brazil, while hospital overloads in Italy and South Africa revealed infrastructure fragility. Coordination gaps, such as data silos in the Philippines and public-private discord in Pakistan, further complicated responses. However, adaptive strategies—Chile’s “green corridors,” Vietnam’s modular hospitals, and Rwanda’s community health worker networks—proved effective in enhancing resilience through decentralization and equity-focused solutions. Technology, including AI-driven systems in Singapore and dual-track referrals in Germany, optimized resource allocation despite persistent digital divides in regions like Indonesia. Ethical dilemmas, exemplified by China’s mandatory quarantines, underscore the need for balanced policies that respect patient rights while safeguarding public health. Moving forward, dynamic resilience assessments, standardized protocols, and global collaboration under WHO frameworks are essential to building robust, equitable referral systems capable of withstanding future health emergencies.

Conflict of Interest

No conflict of interest

REFERENCES

- Abbas, M., Kronenberg, G., McBride, M., Chari, D., Alam, F., Mukaetova-Ladinska, E., Al-Uzri, M., & Brugha, T. (2020). The Early Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Acute Care Mental Health Services. *Psychiatric Services*. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.202000467>
- Alcayaga, C., Loor-Sánchez, V., Oyarce-Hormazábal, N., Riveros-Riveros, M. P., & Reynaldos-Grandón, K. (2021). PRS32 Management Of The Covid-19 Pandemic Around Public Health: Ethical Perspectives. *Value in Health*, 24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2021.04.1096>
- Atif, M., & Malik, I. (2020). Why is Pakistan vulnerable to COVID-19 associated morbidity and mortality? A scoping review. *The International Journal of Health Planning and Management*, 35, 1041–1054. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hpm.3016>
- Balqis-Ali, N. Z., Fun, W. H., Ismail, M., Ng, R. J., Jaaffar, F. S. A., & Low, L. (2021). Addressing Gaps for Health Systems Strengthening: A Public Perspective on Health Systems’ Response towards COVID-19. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18179047>
- Chmitorz, A., Neumann, R., Kollmann, B., Ahrens, K., Öhlschläger, S., Goldbach, N., Weichert, D., Schick, A., Schick, A., Lutz, B., Plichta, M., Fiebach, C., Wessa, M., Wessa, M., Kalisch, R., Tüscher, O., Lieb, K., & Reif, A. (2020). Longitudinal determination of resilience in humans to identify mechanisms of resilience to modern-life stressors: the longitudinal resilience assessment (LORA) study. *European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience*, 271, 1035–1051. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00406-020-01159-2>
- Da Rosa, V. M., Saurin, T., Tortorella, G., Fogliatto, F., Tonetto, L., & Samson, D. (2021). Digital





- technologies: An exploratory study of their role in the resilience of healthcare services. *Applied Ergonomics*, 97, 103517. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2021.103517>
- Da Silva, E. S., Da Silva Kneodler, T., Da Silva, T. A. S. M., & De Oliveira, A. B. (2024). Vulnerabilities to disasters in healthcare facilities in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic: a scoping review. *Ciencia & Saude Coletiva*, 29 7. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1413-81232024297.01842024>
- Gamboa-Antiñolo, F. (2020). Pandemic, public policy and ethics. *Gaceta Sanitaria*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaceta.2020.09.009>
- Hartnett, K., Kite-Powell, A., DeVies, J., Coletta, M., Boehmer, T., Adjemian, J., & Gundlapalli, A. (2020). Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Emergency Department Visits — United States, January 1, 2019–May 30, 2020. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 69, 699–704. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6923e1>
- Hodgins, M., Van Leeuwen, D., Braithwaite, J., Hanefeld, J., Wolfe, I., Lau, C., Dickins, E., McSweeney, J., McCaskill, M., & Lingam, R. (2021). The COVID-19 System Shock Framework: Capturing Health System Innovation During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *International Journal of Health Policy and Management*, 11, 2155–2165. <https://doi.org/10.34172/ijhpm.2021.130>
- Khan, A., Abdullah, M., Khan, R., Kazmi, T., Sultan, F., Aamir, S., Khawaja, A. A., & Khan, A. (2024). Pakistan's national COVID-19 response: lessons from an emergent response to the pandemic. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2024.1379867>
- Kwok, E., Clapham, G., & Calder-Sprackman, S. (2021). The Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic on Emergency Department Visits at a Canadian Academic Tertiary Care Center. *Western Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 22, 851–859. <https://doi.org/10.5811/westjem.2021.2.49626>
- Lange, S., Ritchey, M., Goodman, A., Dias, T., Twentyman, E., Fuld, J., Schieve, L., Imperatore, G., Benoit, S., Kite-Powell, A., Stein, Z., Peacock, G., Dowling, N., Briss, P., Hacker, K., Gundlapalli, A., & Yang, Q. (2020). Potential Indirect Effects of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Use of Emergency Departments for Acute Life-Threatening Conditions — United States, January–May 2020. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 69, 795–800. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6925e2>
- Larson, J., Lai, A., Depuccio, M., & Hilligoss, B. (2024). Managing Surges in Demand: A Grounded Conceptual Framework of Surge Management Capability. *Medical Care Research and Review*, 81, 245–258. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10775587241226485>
- Łukasik, M., & Porębska, A. (2022). Responsiveness and Adaptability of Healthcare Facilities in Emergency Scenarios: COVID-19 Experience. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19020675>
- Mainous, A., Yin, L., Medley, J., Saguil, A., & Orlando, F. (2024). Impact of COVID-19 on Chronic Ambulatory-Care-Sensitive Condition Emergency Department Use Among Older Adults. *Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine : JABFM*. <https://doi.org/10.3122/jabfm.2023.230386R1>
- März, J., Mouton-Dorey, C., Roa, T. M., & Biller-Andorno, N. (2022). Proportionality and evidence-based pandemic management. *Swiss Medical Weekly*, 152. <https://doi.org/10.4414/smw.2022.w30208>





- Mason, C., Barraket, J., Friel, S., O'Rourke, K., & Stenta, C.-P. (2015). Social innovation for the promotion of health equity. *Health Promotion International*, 30 Suppl 2. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapro/dav076>
- Montiflor, M., Vellema, S., & Digal, L. (2019). Coordination as Management Response to the Spread of a Global Plant Disease: A Case Study in a Major Philippine Banana Production Area. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01048>
- Oyebanji, O., Abba, F. I., Akande, O., Aniaku, E., Abubakar, A., Oladejo, J., Aderinola, O., Benyeogor, E., Owoeye, F., Nguku, P., Bemo, V. N., & Ihekweazu, C. (2021). Building local capacity for emergency coordination: establishment of subnational Public Health Emergency Operations Centres in Nigeria. *BMJ Global Health*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2021-007203>
- Pagalang, G., Turalde, C., & Jamora, R. (2022). Autoimmune Encephalitis in the Philippines: A Scoping Review on the Treatment Gaps, Challenges, and Current State of Care. *Frontiers in Neurology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2022.788309>
- Parker, F., Ganjkanloo, F., Mart'inez, D., & Ghobadi, K. (2024). Optimal Hospital Capacity Management During Demand Surges. *ArXiv*, abs/2403.15738. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2403.15738>
- Post, E., Sethi, R., Adeniji, A., Lee, C., Shea, S., Metcalf, R., Gaynes, J., Tripp, K., & Kirsch, T. (2023). A Multisite Investigation of Areas for Improvement in COVID-19 Surge Capacity Management. *Health Security*, 21, 333–340. <https://doi.org/10.1089/hs.2023.0019>
- Rothstein, M. (2020). Public Health and Privacy in the Pandemic. *American Journal of Public Health*. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2020.305849>
- Sadri, H., & Fraser, N. (2023). The role of innovative technologies in reducing health system inequity. *Healthcare Management Forum*, 37, 101–107. <https://doi.org/10.1177/08404704231207509>
- Schopow, N., Osterhoff, G., von Dercks, N., Girrbach, F., Josten, C., Stehr, S., & Hepp, P. (2021). Central covid-19 coordination centers in germany: Description, economic evaluation, and systematic review. *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance*, 7(11), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.2196/33509>
- Sharma, A., Borah, S., & Moses, A. (2020). Responses to COVID-19: The role of governance, healthcare infrastructure, and learning from past pandemics. *Journal of Business Research*, 122, 597–607. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.09.011>
- Shokoohi, M., Osooli, M., & Stranges, S. (2020). COVID-19 Pandemic: What Can the West Learn From the East? *International Journal of Health Policy and Management*, 9, 436–438. <https://doi.org/10.34172/ijhpm.2020.85>
- Silva, H. P., Lehoux, P., Miller, F., & Denis, J. (2018). Introducing responsible innovation in health: a policy-oriented framework. *Health Research Policy and Systems*, 16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12961-018-0362-5>
- Tortorella, G., Saurin, T., Fogliatto, F., Rosa, V., Tonetto, L., & Magrabi, F. (2021). Impacts of Healthcare 4.0 digital technologies on the resilience of hospitals. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 166, 120666. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TECHFORE.2021.120666>





Wei, X., Khan, N., Durrani, H., Muzaffar, N., Haldane, V., Walley, J., Thorpe, K., Ge, E., Ge, S., Dodd, W., Wallace, J., Aslanyan, G., Laporte, A., & Khan, M. A. (2023). Protocol for a pragmatic cluster randomised controlled trial to evaluate the effectiveness of digital health interventions in improving non-communicable disease management during the pandemic in rural Pakistan. *PLOS ONE*, 18. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0282543>

Zimmermann, J., Karanikolos, M., Haywood, P., Levy, N., & Cylus, J. (2023). Assessing resilience through a health system performance lens. *The European Journal of Public Health*, 33. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckad160.388>

