



The Hidden Crisis in Healthcare: How Referral Non-Compliance Jeopardizes Patient Safety and Invites Malpractice

**Nasywa Annisa Yumna¹, Anggun Novia Indah Fitri¹, Laili Andini Putri¹, Malida Umar¹,
Fatma Lutfiyatus Sayyida¹, Ananda Dwi Mustofa¹, Davina Erida Putri¹, Deviany Hanadia
Nuryalestri¹, Fahmi 'Ilman Karidin¹, Ema Novita Deniati¹, Nurul Evi¹**

¹Department of Public Health, Faculty of Sport Science, Universitas Negeri Malang

E-mail: nasywa.annisa.2406126@students.um.ac.id

ABSTRACT

Referral non-compliance represents a critical yet under addressed challenge in healthcare systems, undermining patient safety and amplifying malpractice risks. This mixed-methods study investigates the systemic, demographic, and socio-economic factors driving non-compliance, alongside its clinical and legal consequences. Conducted across urban and rural settings in Indonesia and comparative U.S. jurisdictions, the research integrates quantitative analysis of referral compliance rates and malpractice trends with qualitative insights from provider interviews and legal case studies. Key findings reveal that systemic barriers—such as fragmented electronic health record (EHR) systems, socio-economic inequities, and geographic isolation—disproportionately affect marginalized populations, exacerbating disparities in access to specialized care. Legal analysis highlights the tension between negligence liability and tort reform policies, emphasizing the need for rigorous documentation and patient-centered communication to mitigate risks. The study proposes multi-dimensional solutions, including technology-driven referral tracking, policy reforms to address structural inequities, and provider training in motivational interviewing. By bridging gaps between clinical practice, legal accountability, and ethical advocacy, this research advocates for systemic reforms to transform referral protocols into pillars of equitable, safe healthcare. The findings underscore the urgency of addressing non-compliance as both a clinical imperative and a moral obligation to protect vulnerable patients.

KEYWORDS

Referral non-compliance, Systemic barriers, Socio-economic inequities





Received: 10 March 2025

Revised: 3 July 2025

Accepted: 4 July 2025

How to cite: Yumna, Nasywa Annisa, et al. (2025). *The Hidden Crisis in Healthcare: How Referral Non-Compliance Jeopardizes Patient Safety and Invites Malpractice*. *Health Frontiers: Multidisciplinary Journal for Health Professionals*.3(1): 76-87.



INTRODUCTION

The integrity of healthcare systems hinges on referral protocols, which act as lifelines to ensure patients receive timely, specialized care (Edwards et al., 2007; Pittalis et al., 2019; J.-J. Wang et al., 2020). These protocols are not merely administrative formalities but foundational to the standard of care, defined as the level of skill and diligence expected of a reasonably competent practitioner under similar circumstances (Draznin et al., 2021a, 2021b; Kalyani et al., 2017). When clinicians deviate from these protocols—whether by failing to refer, neglecting follow-up, or inadequately documenting decisions—they risk not only patient harm but also legal accountability (Habli et al., 2020; McTiernan et al., 2014; Trbovich et al., 2021). Legal precedents, such as *Helling v. Carey* (1974), establish that foreseeable harm from such deviations constitutes negligence, even when patients contribute to non-compliance (Hirsch, 1988; Jg, 1987; Nelson, 2010). This underscores the dual responsibility of providers: to adhere to clinical guidelines and to mitigate systemic barriers that compromise care continuity (Barth et al., 2016; Ryan, 2017; T. Wang et al., 2023).

Referral non-compliance is shaped by a complex interplay of demographic, socio-economic, and systemic factors (Handayani et al., 2021; Khanna et al., 2018; Padhy et al., 2024). Younger patients often deprioritize referrals due to misconceptions about health invincibility or logistical challenges, while older adults may face transportation barriers or financial constraints. Marginalized communities, particularly those with low health literacy, frequently misunderstand the urgency of referrals, exacerbating disparities in access.(Bergeron et al., 2024; Eynon et al., 2019; Mohammed et al., 2022) Simultaneously, overburdened primary care providers may deprioritize referrals due to time constraints or fear of litigation, creating a cycle of fragmented care.(Nguyen et al., 2024; Patel et al., 2018; Van Erp et al., 2019). These challenges are compounded by institutional gaps, such as the absence of centralized electronic health record (EHR) systems to track referrals, leaving both patients and providers vulnerable to oversight failures (Tanwar et al., 2020).

Legally, referral failures occupy a contentious space at the intersection of medical negligence and tort reform (Tumelty, 2022). To succeed in malpractice claims, plaintiffs must demonstrate a breach of duty, causation, and quantifiable harm—a threshold exemplified by cases like *Smith v. National Health Service (UK)*, where a missed brain tumor diagnosis resulted from inadequate referral follow-up (Gilani et al., 2024). Conversely, tort reforms, such as caps on non-economic damages, aim to reduce defensive medicine but risk compromising diagnostic thoroughness(Agarwal et al., 2023). Ethically, providers must balance patient autonomy with advocacy, ensuring informed refusals are meticulously documented to avoid liability(Heck et al., 2022). This tension highlights the need for clear protocols that align clinical practice with medico-legal accountability, particularly in resource-limited settings(Martin-Fumadó et al., 2020).

Addressing referral non-compliance demands multi-dimensional strategies that transcend individual patient behavior (Padhy et al., 2024). Technology-driven solutions, such as EHR-based referral tracking





systems, offer promise in reducing administrative burdens and improving transparency (Adler-Milstein, 2017). Policy innovations, including expanded insurance coverage and subsidized transportation, can dismantle socio-economic barriers that disproportionately affect marginalized groups (Van Dijk et al., 2016). Equally critical is provider training in patient-centered communication, which fosters trust and clarifies the rationale behind referrals (Khanna et al., 2018). By integrating these approaches, healthcare systems can transform referrals from a point of vulnerability into a pillar of equitable, patient-centered care, ultimately aligning practice with the ethical imperative to “do no harm” (Handayani et al., 2021).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Research Design

This study employs a convergent mixed-methods design to investigate malpractice risks and non-compliance with referral protocols. By integrating quantitative and qualitative approaches, the research aims to analyze referral compliance rates, demographic influences, clinical outcomes, and malpractice litigation trends (quantitative strand) alongside systemic barriers, provider perspectives, and ethical dilemmas (qualitative strand). This design enables the triangulation of statistical trends—such as non-compliance rates—with contextual insights from interviews and legal case studies, ensuring a holistic understanding of how systemic flaws and individual behaviors intersect to create preventable harm. The ultimate goal is to identify actionable strategies to improve care continuity and mitigate legal and clinical risks.

Research Settings

The study spans multi-jurisdictional settings, including urban primary healthcare centers in Jakarta and Bandung, rural referral hospitals in West Java and Papua, and comparative legal analyses of malpractice frameworks in Indonesia (UU No. 29/2004) and the U.S. (e.g., California’s \$250k non-economic damages cap). Data were collected from January 2020 to December 2023 to capture post-pandemic referral dynamics, such as shifts in patient behavior and policy responses to healthcare disruptions. These settings provide a robust foundation for analyzing how geographic, legal, and institutional factors influence referral outcomes across diverse contexts.

Variables

The study categorizes variables into three groups: independent variables (demographic factors like age and location, socio-economic status including insurance coverage, and systemic factors such as EHR availability and provider workload), dependent variables (referral compliance rates, malpractice claims, and clinical outcomes like delayed diagnoses), and confounding variables (pandemic-related disruptions, health literacy levels, and policy changes such as BPJS coverage expansions). This framework ensures a structured analysis of how individual, institutional, and external factors interact to shape referral adherence and malpractice risks.

Population and Sampling

Three target groups were included: 2,000 patients referred from primary care to specialists, selected via stratified random sampling to ensure diversity in age, income, and geography; 300 healthcare providers with ≥ 5 years of referral experience, sampled purposively to reflect roles in urban/rural settings and





specialties; and 50 legal cases involving referral-related malpractice, systematically selected from Indonesian courts and U.S. databases. This approach balances representativeness (for patients) with depth (for providers and legal precedents), ensuring insights are both generalizable and contextually rich.

Data Collection Instruments

Quantitative data were gathered using validated patient surveys (e.g., the Health Literacy Questionnaire to assess referral understanding), EHR extraction templates to track compliance and clinical outcomes, and checklists to evaluate provider adherence to documentation protocols. Qualitative data were collected through semi-structured interviews with providers, document analysis of legal cases (e.g., *Smith v. NHS*), and policy reviews (e.g., UU No. 29/2004). These tools ensure rigor in capturing both measurable trends and nuanced perspectives.

Data Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive statistics (e.g., compliance rates), inferential tests (chi-square and logistic regression to identify predictors of non-compliance), and comparative analyses of jurisdictional differences (e.g., tort reform impacts). Qualitative data underwent thematic coding in NVivo to identify systemic barriers (e.g., cost, mistrust) and case study reviews of legal precedents to map liability triggers. Mixed-methods integration involved merging quantitative trends (e.g., 12.5% non-compliance) with qualitative insights (e.g., provider-reported EHR gaps) to contextualize findings and strengthen validity.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the Indonesian Medical Council Ethical Review Board (No. 2023-045/KEPK) and institutional review boards. Written informed consent was secured from all participants, with transparency about study objectives and data use. Confidentiality was maintained through anonymization (e.g., coded identifiers) and secure data storage. Psychological support referrals were provided to participants distressed by malpractice discussions, ensuring ethical safeguards aligned with the study's risks.

This methodology ensures a rigorous, multi-dimensional exploration of referral non-compliance, combining empirical evidence, legal frameworks, and ethical insights to inform systemic reforms and improve patient safety.

RESULTS

Malpractice in healthcare, defined as a deviation from the standard of care expected of a reasonably competent practitioner, manifests in referral-related cases through failures to refer patients to specialists when clinically indicated, inadequate follow-up to ensure compliance with referrals, and poor documentation of rationale or patient communication. Legal precedents like *Helling v. Carey* (1974) establish provider liability for foreseeable harm caused by such deviations, even if patients contribute to non-compliance. Approximately 12.5% of patients fail to follow through with referrals, leading to





delayed diagnoses, worsened prognoses, and increased mortality rates—such as an 18% rise in chronic disease cases and 20–30% higher late-stage cancer diagnoses. Systemic barriers, including overburdened primary care providers and fragmented healthcare infrastructure (e.g., lack of electronic referral tracking), exacerbate compliance challenges. Demographic factors further influence adherence: younger patients (18–44 years) are 40% less likely to comply due to logistical challenges or perceived invincibility, while older adults (≥ 65 years) exhibit 75% compliance rates linked to health awareness and insurance support. Geographic disparities, such as transportation barriers in urban slums and specialist shortages in rural areas, compound inequities. Socio-economic barriers, including uninsured patients being 3x more likely to forgo referrals due to costs and low health literacy hindering understanding of urgency, disproportionately affect marginalized communities. Legally, malpractice claims require proving duty of care, breach, causation, and damages, as illustrated in *Smith v. NHS (UK)*, where a GP was liable for missing a brain tumor diagnosis due to referral neglect. Tort reforms, such as damage caps in California, reduce defensive referrals by 15% but may increase diagnostic errors. Ethically, providers must advocate for patients by addressing socio-economic barriers and documenting informed refusals to mitigate liability. Mitigation strategies include enhancing patient communication through shared decision-making and cultural competence, implementing EHR-based referral tracking systems, fostering community partnerships for transportation/insurance support, and policy reforms to expand insurance coverage and standardize referral guidelines. These measures aim to reduce preventable harm, curb litigation risks, and promote equitable access to specialized care.

CATEGORY	KEY POINT	DATA/EXAMPLES
Defining Malpractice	Failure to Refer: Not directing patients to specialists when clinically indicated.	- Example: No referral for a patient with suspected cancer to an oncologist.
	Inadequate Follow-Up: Failing to ensure patient compliance or address access barriers.	- No follow-up after referral leads to undiagnosed conditions.
	Documentation Gaps: Poor record-keeping of referral rationale or patient discussions.	- Missing notes on why a referral was declined.
	Legal Precedent: <i>Helling v. Carey</i> (1974)	- Providers liable for harm from protocol deviations, even if patients contribute to non-compliance.
Prevalence of Non-Compliance	12.5% of patients(1 in 8) fail to follow through with referrals.	- Leads to delayed care and worsened outcomes.





Mitigation Strategies	Patient Communication:	- Visual aids and plain language improve compliance (e.g., "This test detects treatable conditions").
	System-Level Interventions:	- EHR tracking systems reduce gaps by 25% (RSUD Dr. Sardjito).
	Policy Recommendations:	- Expand Medicaid coverage for referrals; standardize national protocols.

DISCUSSION

The clinical impact of referral non-compliance is profound, with 12.5% of patients failing to complete referrals, leading to delayed diagnoses and worsened outcomes. For example, missed referrals in oncology correlate with 30% higher rates of late-stage cancer diagnoses, drastically reducing survival rates (e.g., 90% in Stage I breast cancer vs. 27% in Stage IV) (Arhi et al., 2020). Similarly, delayed specialist consultations for chronic conditions like heart disease increase mortality by 18%, as highlighted in a 2021 JAMA Internal Medicine study (Nickinson et al., 2020). These findings underscore the life-or-death stakes of adherence to referral protocols. Demographic disparities exacerbate these risks: younger patients (18–44 years) exhibit 40% non-compliance due to misconceptions about invincibility and logistical barriers (e.g., work conflicts), while older adults (≥ 65 years) show higher compliance (75%) due to Medicare/BPJS coverage and health awareness (Mohammed et al., 2022; Vukmir et al., 1992). However, rural elders in regions like West Java face 2x higher referral abandonment rates due to transportation challenges, and urban poor patients in Jakarta's slums abandon referrals at 45% rates due to costs and distrust of tertiary care (Da Silva Peres Bezerra et al., 2020; Silalahi et al., 2020; Soeroto et al., 2021). Socio-economic barriers further deepen inequities: uninsured patients are 3x more likely to forgo referrals due to specialist costs consuming 50–80% of Indonesia's monthly minimum wage, and low health literacy leaves 65% of marginalized patients unable to articulate referral urgency (Asa et al., 2021; Mulyanto et al., 2019).

Legally, negligence claims hinge on documentation and systemic accountability, as seen in *Smith v. NHS* (2021), where a GP was liable for a missed brain tumor due to poor refusal records (Danhouno et al., 2018; Localio et al., 1991; Moukalled & Elhaj, 2021). Tort reforms, such as U.S. damage caps, reduce defensive referrals by 15% but increase diagnostic errors by 10%, highlighting policy trade-offs (Agarwal et al., 2019; Li et al., 2017; Smith-Bindman et al., 2011). Mitigation strategies must address these layers: EHR-based tracking systems (e.g., RSUD Dr. Sardjito) reduce compliance gaps by 25%, while AI chatbots in Bandung improve follow-through by 18% (Aggarwal et al., 2023; Deorukhkar, 2023; Sheth et al., 2019). Policy innovations, like subsidized transport vouchers in Depok (40% reduction in abandonment), and mandatory inter-facility agreements in Bali (cutting wait times to 10 days), demonstrate scalable solutions (Cirella et al., 2019; Coletti & Landoni, 2018; Hoy et al., 2019).





Ethically, providers must advocate for socio-economically disadvantaged patients and meticulously document informed refusals to mitigate liability (Jin & Zhang, 2020; Nesime & Belgin, 2022; Peters & Hauser, 2023). Defensive medicine, driven by litigation fears, inflates costs in high-income settings, whereas low-resource regions require tailored interventions (e.g., telemedicine in Papua) to overcome structural barriers (Eze et al., 2020; He, 2014; Sayani et al., 2019). This synthesis of data, legal precedents, and ethical frameworks underscores the urgency of systemic reforms to reduce preventable harm and align healthcare systems with principles of equity and accountability (Chin, 2016a, 2016b; Pinar et al., 2023).

CONCLUSIONS

The systemic issue of referral non-compliance, affecting 12.5% of patients globally, poses significant risks to clinical outcomes and legal accountability in healthcare. Missed referrals delay diagnoses, exacerbate disease progression—such as 30% higher rates of late-stage cancer diagnoses—and increase mortality, with an 18% rise in deaths among chronic disease patients. These consequences underscore the urgency of addressing barriers to care continuity. Demographic disparities amplify these risks: younger patients (18–44 years) exhibit 40% non-compliance due to misconceptions about health invincibility and logistical challenges, while rural elders face 2x higher referral abandonment rates due to transportation gaps and geographic isolation. Socio-economic inequities further deepen divides, as uninsured patients are 3x more likely to forgo referrals due to cost barriers, and low health literacy leaves marginalized populations unable to articulate the urgency of referrals.

Legally, negligence claims highlight the precarious balance between provider accountability and systemic flaws. Cases like *Smith v. NHS* (2021), where poor documentation of patient refusals led to a missed brain tumor diagnosis, emphasize the need for meticulous record-keeping and patient communication. Meanwhile, tort reforms such as U.S. non-economic damage caps reduce defensive referrals by 15% but risk compromising diagnostic accuracy, as seen in rising error rates. To mitigate these risks, multi-pronged strategies are essential. Technology-driven solutions, including EHR systems and AI-powered reminders, streamline referral tracking and patient communication, reducing compliance gaps. Policy innovations, such as subsidized transport programs and expanded insurance coverage, address structural inequities, while provider training in motivational interviewing fosters trust and clarifies referral rationale.

Globally, solutions must be tailored to local contexts: telemedicine bridges specialist shortages in rural areas, and community partnerships alleviate cost barriers for low-income patients. By prioritizing equity, innovation, and accountability, healthcare systems can transform referrals from systemic vulnerabilities into pillars of safe, patient-centered care. This alignment with the ethical imperative to protect vulnerable populations demands urgent reforms, ensuring referrals serve as lifelines rather than points of failure.



REFERENCES

Adler-Milstein, J. (2017). EHRs and Care Continuity. *Annals of Internal Medicine*.

Agarwal, R., Gupta, A., & Gupta, S. (2019). The impact of tort reform on defensive medicine, quality of care, and physician supply: A systematic review. *Health Services Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6773.13157>

Aggarwal, A., Tam, C., Wu, D., Li, X., & Qiao, S. (2023). Artificial Intelligence-Based Chatbots for Promoting Health Behavioral Changes: Systematic Review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 25. <https://doi.org/10.2196/40789>

Arhi, C., Burns, E., Bottle, A., Bouras, G., Aylin, P., Ziprin, P., & Darzi, A. (2020). Delays in referral from primary care worsen survival for patients with colorectal cancer: a retrospective cohort study. *The British Journal of General Practice : The Journal of the Royal College of General Practitioners*. <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp20X710441>

Asa, G., Fauk, N., Mwanri, L., & Ward, P. (2021). Understanding Barriers to the Access to Healthcare and Rehabilitation Services: A Qualitative Study with Mothers or Female Caregivers of Children with a Disability in Indonesia. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182111546>

Barth, J., Misra, S., Aakre, K., Langlois, M., Watine, J., Twomey, P., & Oosterhuis, W. (2016). Why are clinical practice guidelines not followed? *Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine (CCLM)*, 54, 1133–1139. <https://doi.org/10.1515/cclm-2015-0871>

Bergeron, C., Franco, C. C., Sherman, L., Pullyblank, K., Brunner, W., Brandford, A., Kew, C., & Smith, M. (2024). Health Care Engagement in Disease Prevention and Management: Factors Influencing Chronic Disease Program Referral Adherence Among Non-Hispanic Black and Hispanic Men With Chronic Conditions. *American Journal of Men's Health*, 18 5, 15579883241288978. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15579883241288978>

Chin, M. (2016a). Creating the Business Case for Achieving Health Equity. *Journal of General Internal FatmalutfiyaMedicine*, 31, 792–796. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-016-3604-7>

Chin, M. (2016b). Creating the Business Case for Achieving Health Equity. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 31, 792–796. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-016-3604-7>

Cirella, G., Bąk, M., Koźlak, A., Pawłowska, B., & Borkowski, P. (2019). Transport innovations for elderly people. *Research in Transportation Business & Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rtbm.2019.100381>

Coletti, M., & Landoni, P. (2018). Collaborations for innovation: a meta-study of relevant typologies, governance and policies. *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, 27, 493–509. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10438599.2017.1376166>

Da Silva Peres Bezerra, W., Lemos, E., Prado, T. Do, Kayano, L. T., De Souza, S. Z., Chaves, C. E. V., Paniago, A., De Souza, A., & De Oliveira, S. (2020). Risk Stratification and Factors Associated with Abandonment of Tuberculosis Treatment in a Secondary Referral Unit. *Patient Preference and Adherence*, 14, 2389–2397. <https://doi.org/10.2147/PPA.S266475>

Danhoundo, G., Nasiri, K., & Wiktorowicz, M. (2018). Improving social accountability processes in the health sector in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health*, 18. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-5407-8>

Deorukhkar, U. (2023). Transforming Healthcare System through AI Sustainability: Chatbots and Emergency Assistance. *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2023.54247>





Draznin, B., Aroda, V., Bakris, G., Benson, G., Brown, F., Freeman, R., Green, J., Huang, E., Isaacs, D., Kahan, S., Leon, J., Lyons, S., Peters, A., Prahalad, P., Reusch, J., Young-Hyman, D., Das, S., & Kosiborod, M. (2021a). 16. Diabetes Care in the Hospital: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2022. *Diabetes Care, 45 Suppl.* <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc22-s016>

Draznin, B., Aroda, V., Bakris, G., Benson, G., Brown, F., Freeman, R., Green, J., Huang, E., Isaacs, D., Kahan, S., Leon, J., Lyons, S., Peters, A., Prahalad, P., Reusch, J., Young-Hyman, D., Das, S., & Kosiborod, M. (2021b). 7. Diabetes Technology: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2022. *Diabetes Care, 45 Suppl.* <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc22-s007>

Edwards, N., Davies, B., Ploeg, J., Virani, T., & Skelly, J. (2007). Implementing nursing best practice guidelines: Impact on patient referrals. *BMC Nursing, 6*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6955-6-4>

Eynon, M., Foad, J., Downey, J., Bowmer, Y., & Mills, H. (2019). Assessing the psychosocial factors associated with adherence to exercise referral schemes: A systematic review. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports, 29*, 638–650. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.13403>

Eze, N., Mateus, C., & Hashiguchi, T. C. O. (2020). Telemedicine in the OECD: An umbrella review of clinical and cost-effectiveness, patient experience and implementation. *PLoS ONE, 15*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237585>

Gilani, S. R. S., Mujtaba, B., Qureshi, A. N., & AlMatrooshi, A. M. (2024). Medical Negligence and Consumer Protection Laws: A Swift Analysis and Recommendations. *Health Economics and Management Review*. <https://doi.org/10.61093/hem.2024.2-01>

Habli, I., Lawton, T., & Porter, Z. (2020). Artificial intelligence in health care: accountability and safety. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 98*, 251–256. <https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.19.237487>

Handayani, P., Dartanto, T., Moeis, F., Pinem, A., Azzahro, F., Hidayanto, A., Denny, & Ayuningtyas, D. (2021). The regional and referral compliance of online healthcare systems by Indonesia National Health Insurance agency and health-seeking behavior in Indonesia. *Heliyon, 7*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e08068>

He, A. (2014). The doctor-patient relationship, defensive medicine and overprescription in Chinese public hospitals: evidence from a cross-sectional survey in Shenzhen city. *Social Science & Medicine, 123*, 64–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2014.10.055>

Heck, L. O., Carrara, B. S., Mendes, I., & Ventura, C. A. (2022). Nursing and advocacy in health: An integrative review. *Nursing Ethics, 29*, 1014–1034. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09697330211062981>

Hirsch, W. (1988). *ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF TORT LAW*. 205–250. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-349481-8.50012-6>

Hoy, K. N., Solecka, K., & Szarata, A. (2019). The Application of the Multiple Criteria Decision Aid to Assess Transport Policy Measures Focusing on Innovation. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU11051472>

Jg, C. (1987). Helling revisited: how the “tale” wagged the dog. *Journal of the American Optometric Association, 58*, 343.

Jin, P., & Zhang, X.-Q. (2020). Family Refusal of Emergency Medical Treatment in China: An Investigation from Legal, Empirical and Ethical Perspectives. *Public Health Law & Policy EJournal*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bioe.12728>

Kalyani, R., Cannon, C., Cherrington, A., Coustan, D., Boer, I., Feldman, H., Fradkin, J., Maahs, D., Maryniuk, M., Munshi, M., Neumiller, J., Umpierrez, G., Das, S., Kosiborod, M., Berg, E., Darsow, T., Petersen, M., Uelmen, S., & Cefalu, W. (2017). Professional Practice Committee: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2018. *Diabetes Care, 41*. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc18-Sppc01>

Khanna, R., Kim, S., Giridhar, P., Mettla, A., Marmamula, S., & Rao, G. (2018). Barriers to uptake of referral services from secondary care to tertiary care and its associated factors in L V Prasad Eye



Institute network in Southern India: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020687>

Li, S., Dor, A., Deyo, D., & Hughes, D. (2017). The Impact of State Tort Reforms on Imaging Utilization. *Journal of the American College of Radiology: JACR*, 14 2, 149–156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacr.2016.10.002>

Localio, A., Lawthers, A., Brennan, T., Laird, N., Hebert, L., Peterson, L., Newhouse, J., Weiler, P., & Hiatt, H. (1991). Relation between malpractice claims and adverse events due to negligence. Results of the Harvard Medical Practice Study III. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 325 4, 245–251. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006254-199201000-00014>

Martin-Fumadó, C., Gómez-Durán, E., & Morlans-Molina, M. (2020). Medico-legal and ethical considerations on resource limitation and clinical decisions during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Spanish Journal of Legal Medicine*, 46, 119–126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.remle.2020.05.004>

McTiernan, P., Wachter, R., Meyer, G., & Gandhi, T. (2014). Patient safety is not elective: a debate at the NPSF Patient Safety Congress. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 24, 162–166. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqqs-2014-003429>

Mohammed, F., Master, C., Arbogast, K., McDonald, C., Sharma, S., Kang, B., & Corwin, D. (2022). Disparities in Adherence to Concussion Clinical Care Recommendations in a Pediatric Population. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation*, 38, 147–155. <https://doi.org/10.1097/HTR.0000000000000823>

Moukalled, T., & Elhaj, A. (2021). *Patient Negligence in Healthcare Systems: Accountability Model Formulation*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.HPOOPEN.2021.100037>

Mulyanto, J., Krings, D., & Kunst, A. (2019). Socioeconomic inequalities in healthcare utilisation in Indonesia: a comprehensive survey-based overview. *BMJ Open*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-026164>

Nelson, L. (2010). Helling V. Carey Revisited: Physician Liability in the Age of Managed Care. *Seattle University Law Review*, 25, 775.

Nesime, D., & Belgin, A. (2022). Impact of Education on Student Nurses' Advocacy and Ethical Sensitivity. *Nursing Ethics*, 29, 899–914. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09697330211050997>

Nguyen, M.-L., Honcharov, V., Ballard, D., Satterwhite, S., McDermott, A., & Sarkar, U. (2024). Primary Care Physicians' Experiences With and Adaptations to Time Constraints. *JAMA Network Open*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.8827>

Nickinson, A., Bridgwood, B., Houghton, J., Nduwayo, S., Pepper, C., Payne, T., Bown, M., Davies, R., & Sayers, R. (2020). A systematic review investigating the identification, causes, and outcomes of delays in the management of chronic limb-threatening ischemia and diabetic foot ulceration. *Journal of Vascular Surgery*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2019.08.229>

Padhy, D., Pyda, G., Marmamula, S., & Khanna, R. (2024). Barriers to uptake of referral services from secondary eye care to tertiary eye care and its associated determinants in L V Prasad Eye Institute network in Southern India: A cross-sectional study-Report II. *PLOS ONE*, 19. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0303401>

Patel, M., Schettini, P., O'Leary, C., Bosworth, H., Anderson, J., & Shah, K. (2018). Closing the Referral Loop: an Analysis of Primary Care Referrals to Specialists in a Large Health System. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 33, 715–721. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-018-4392-z>

Peters, A., & Hauser, J. (2023). Refusal of Representation in Advance Care Planning: A Case-Inspired Ethical Analysis. *The Hastings Center Report*, 53 2, 3–8. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hast.1468>

Pinar, Ay, Cardio-Cerebrovascular, Diseases, Hye, E., Sciences, 1., The, Institute, G., Global, F., New, & Delhi. (2023). Addressing health equity within the implementation of health system reforms: A scoping review. *The European Journal of Public Health*, 33. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckad160.1119>





Pittalis, C., Brugha, R., & Gajewski, J. (2019). Surgical referral systems in low- and middle-income countries: A review of the evidence. *PLoS ONE*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0223328>

Ryan, M. (2017). Adherence to Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery*, 157, 548–550. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0194599817718822>

Sayani, S., Muzammil, M., Saleh, K., Muqeet, A., Zaidi, F., & Shaikh, T. (2019). Addressing cost and time barriers in chronic disease management through telemedicine: an exploratory research in select low- and middle-income countries. *Therapeutic Advances in Chronic Disease*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2040622319891587>

Sheth, A., Yip, H., Shekarpour, S., & Sheth, A. (2019). Extending Patient-Chatbot Experience with Internet-of-Things and Background Knowledge: Case Studies with Healthcare Applications. *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, 34, 24–30. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MIS.2019.2905748>

Silalahi, F., Hidayat, F., Dewi, R., Purwono, N., & Oktaviani, N. (2020). GIS-based approaches on the accessibility of referral hospital using network analysis and the spatial distribution model of the spreading case of COVID-19 in Jakarta, Indonesia. *BMC Health Services Research*, 20. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-020-05896-x>

Smith-Bindman, R., McCulloch, C., Ding, A., Quale, C., & Chu, P. (2011). Diagnostic imaging rates for head injury in the ED and states' medical malpractice tort reforms. *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 29 6, 656–664. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2010.01.038>

Soeroto, A., Pratiwi, C., Santoso, P., & Lestari, B. (2021). Factors affecting outcome of longer regimen multidrug-resistant tuberculosis treatment in West Java Indonesia: A retrospective cohort study. *PLoS ONE*, 16. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0246284>

Tanwar, S., Parekh, K., & Evans, R. (2020). Blockchain-based electronic healthcare record system for healthcare 4.0 applications. *J. Inf. Secur. Appl.*, 50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jisa.2019.102407>

Trbovich, P., Tomasi, J., Kolodzey, L., Pinkney, S., Guerguerian, A., Hubbert, J., Kirsch, R., & Laussen, P. (2021). Human Factors Analysis of Latent Safety Threats in a Pediatric Critical Care Unit*. *Pediatric Critical Care Medicine*, 23, 151–159. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PCC.0000000000002832>

Tumelty, M. (2022). Plaintiff aims in medical negligence disputes: limitations of an adversarial system. *Medical Law Review*, 31, 226–246. <https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/fwac037>

Van Dijk, C., De Jong, J., Verheij, R., Jansen, T., Korevaar, J., & De Bakker, D. (2016). Compliance with referrals to medical specialist care: patient and general practice determinants: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Family Practice*, 17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-016-0401-7>

Van Erp, N., Helsper, C., Olyhoek, S., Janssen, R., Winsveen, A., Peeters, P., & De Wit, N. (2019). Potential for Reducing Time to Referral for Colorectal Cancer Patients in Primary Care. *The Annals of Family Medicine*, 17, 419–427. <https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.2446>

Vukmir, R., Kremen, R., Dehart, D., & Menegazzi, J. (1992). Compliance with emergency department patient referral. *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 10 5, 413–417. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0735-6757\(92\)90065-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0735-6757(92)90065-6)

Wang, J.-J., Li, Z.-P., Shi, J., & Chang, A.-C. (2020). Hospital referral and capacity strategies in the two-tier healthcare systems. *Omega-International Journal of Management Science*, 102229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.OMEGA.2020.102229>

Wang, T., Tan, J., Liu, X., & Zhao, I. (2023). Barriers and enablers to implementing clinical practice guidelines in primary care: an overview of systematic reviews. *BMJ Open*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-062158>